App. F. IX-4

Previous References 1930-1 (9 re) 3 & C, File No. 69-30
Serial Nos. 1-6

Letter References.

Legis 63-35-1. (A colem)
Ire 42-31-(1-8)

20

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Nil.

Part B for

SUBJECT.

Treatment of Electrical Generating Stations, work-shops etc as "Factories" under the Mysore Factory Regulation.

WD 0418—GPB—22,500—29-8-36

G. R. S. APP. III—1.

1930.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Dev.)

NOTES.

D & C File No. 69-30

FILE HEADING.

Treatment of Electrical Generating stations, workshops &c. as 'Factories' under the Mysore Factory Regr.

FROM The Director of Industries and Commerce

No. C. 1476/28-29 Dated 29th Aug. 1930. Received 2nd Sep. 1930.

Diary No. 1947	Enclos.	Spare copies	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that the definition of a factory given in the Indian Factories Act of 1922 ~~has been~~ was amended in 1926 so as to widen its scope, and requests that action may be taken to amend the Mysore Regulations also so as to bring it into line with the Indian Act.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The amendment of the Govt. of India Act brings within the purview of the Act electric generating and transforming stations, hydraulic pumping stations, air compressors and water-pl... If a similar amendment is to be carried ... in the Mysore Factories Regulations ...

NOTES—First Sheet.

Serial No.

13 SEP. 1930

the Law department may be asked to take action in the matter

MRK 11/9. There is perhaps no necessity for immediately taking up the question amending the Factories Regulation for the Valley amending just one section, more especially in view of the G.O. of 14th Feb 1930 which makes the position explicit in so far as the generality Station, Workshops & Other Concerns of the Electric Dept are concerned.

The Director may be asked to compare the Kashmir Factories provisions of the Indian Factories Act of 1926 with the Regulation now in force and report what are all the other features in which the Regulation can be brought into line with the All India Act.

A

MRK 11-9-30

Dewsey

12/9 Agree. Action indicated in A. may be taken.

Secy Secretary.

13/9

MRK 13/9/30

5 Agree

6 15/9

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.	
	Draft put up accordingly MD 16/9 S Hare 11/9/30
2	To the Dir of I & C. No: D 3494 dt 25-9-30 ~~Requests~~ him to compare the Indian Act & the Mysore Regulation and report on other matters, if any in which the latter could be brought into line with the former.
	See A MD 16/9
3	From the ~~Dir of I&C~~ C.-1476/28-29. ~~Forwards a statement showing the~~ amendments to be made in the Mysore Factories Regulation ~~to bring it into~~ conformity with the Indian Factories Act in force at present. ~~A copy of the Factories Regulation~~ 9 The alterations proposed are incorporated in a copy of the Factories Regulation & Encld., to enable the existing & proposed provisions being compared side by side. 10. In this connection, reference is invited ~~to~~ I Member's orders in paras 27 and 28 of the linked file (I & C 142-30-2) directing that the Regn amendment of the Workmen's Compensation ~~Act~~ be deferred for two reasons viz. that the ~~recommendations~~ & suggestions of the Royal Commission on Labour

NOTE.—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 69 of 1930.

Serial No.

might with advantage be awaited before amending our law and that, if Labour is dealt with as a federal subject in the ~~it~~ new Indian Constitution, the all-India law would apply to Mysore as well & there would be no need for legislative action on the part of the State. These observations apply ~~equally~~ with equal force to the amendment of the Factories Regulation at this stage. May the consideration of the proposed amendments be deferred for the present?

11. A copy of T.C. Roy's Indian Factories Act is also put up for ref^ce

Subj^t for orders^12. Please see note at page ~~enclosed~~ 2, supra

30.6.31

13 The amendments proposed by Mr Dwelly are entirely based on the ~~same~~ Amendments introduced into the British Indian Act by Act. XXVI of 1926.

14 There is every probability however that as a result of the recommendations of the Royal Commission on Labour, important changes as to conditions & terms of work will be introduced into the Indian Factories Act and many of the new provisions would probably have to be imported into our ~~own~~ Regulation also.

15 In the above as well as the considerations referred to in

^ Amendment of the Mysore Regulation as the case indicated seems desirable if necessary.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

659

Serial No.

A1

DEWAN'S OFFICE
632
8 MAY 1931
2 Books
1 Ltr Encl

GENERAL SECRETARY
1521

GENERAL SECRETARY.
7 MAY 1931
No.

The Joint Members minute on the subject of amending the Workmen's Compensation Regulation, it is proposed if the Factories Regulation may also be defered for the time being.

In order

Dev Secy

Joint Member

MG 1/5/31

RR 2/5/31 Secy 4/5/31

16. A. I think so.

MLC 7.5.31

For Secretary

Dewan

RR 7/5/31 Ce 7/5

17. JM 9-5-31

RR 11/5/31 Ce

18. A copy of letter No. D. 10508/S dt 22.5.31 issued on the subject is placed in the file

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WP 02553—G PB—50,000—8-7-30

30.5.31

REV. SECRETARIAT.
1 JUNE 1931
MENT BRANCH.

BJ Secy

30.5.31

1)

Dte File No. 69 of 1930 | General and Revenue Secretariat

From the Dir. of Dte.

C.-1476/28-29 & 11.6.31

States that while the amendments in the W.C. Regn proposed by the Comr for W.C., Kolar, seek to introduce changes far in advance of the provisions of the present Indian Act, the amendments proposed by him (Dir) in the Factories Regn aim at bringing the Regn into conformity with the Indian Factories act and opines that it is desirable to amend the ~~Regn~~ Factories Regulation ~~as~~ on the lines suggested by him at an early date.

19. Subo for orders with Refce to paras 15 to 17. May the Dir. be informed that the publication of the Report of the Royal Commission on Labour may be awaited? If however on reconsideration it is proposed to take up the amendment of the Factories' Regulation immediately, the amendments proposed and misprints in the Copy of the Regulation placed below (which can also be used when Indian Factories Act as amended up to date) as per Consideration

Nair 22.6.31

Taken up 22.6.31.

Secy

20. The question of amendments may be taken up once for all after the Royal Comn have reported.

1st Member

21.

25/6/31 25.6.31

25/6/31

25.6.31

OFFICE OF THE THE MEMBER 25 JUNE 1931 No. 2530

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 69 of 1930.

Serial No.

22 Subd. with a draft.
Sukhia KO
1.7.31.

23 Done

24. Please put up another draft letter to the D.C. regarding what was the Report of the Royal Commission in Labour in order to examine the amendments proposed by them in the light of the recommendations made in that report and submit comprehensive proposals for the consideration of Govt.

MR
2.7.31

5. D. 122 & 6.7.31
The Director of I & C
Stubbs that the question of amending the Regln. & ... up after the report of the Royal Commission is received

25. Subd. with a draft in accordance with para 24.

Sukhia KO
8.7.31

26 Done
MR
8/7/31

8/ Ste File No. 69 of 1930 | General and Revenue Secretariat

D. No. 2398 13-7-31 | 6

The Director of Land Com.

Requested him to examine the amendments in the Interim recommendations made by the Royal Commission & to submit comprehensive proposals.

Sec A
Mtg
28.7.

For further notes and views on this question please see Ste 69-31-(Leg)

Dtc 69-30-1.

(21C)

Tele{phone—No. 62.
gram—INDUSCOM.

In reply please refer to
No. C1-1476/28-29

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE.

OFFICE OF THE LABOUR COMMISSIONER AND
CHIEF INSPECTOR OF FACTORIES,

Encls.

Bangalore, ...29th August.....*19* 30.

FROM

C. RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Labour Commissioner and Chief Inspector of Factories
in Mysore, Bangalore.

GOVT. & REV. SEC. 1947
2 SEP
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

To

B. Narayanaswamy Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development Departments, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to Government order No. D. 9237 I & C 458-28-4 dated the 14th Febry. 1930 directing that Generating stations, work-shops and other concerns of the Electrical Department should be treated as Factories coming under the Mysore Factories Regulation, I have the honor to state that necessary instructions have been issued to the Superintendents of Industries and Inspectors of Factories to make arrangements for the periodical inspection of these concerns of the Electrical Department in their respective jurisdictions.

In this connection, I may state for the information of Government that the definition of a factory given in the Indian Factories Act of 1922 - which is now followed by the Mysore Regulation was amended in 1926. The full text of the amended section is reproduced below for ready reference. It is seen therefore that the words "Manufacturing Process" are substituted for the words "Any Process for or incidental to making, altering, repairing, or manufacturing furnishing or otherwise adapting for use, for transport or for sale any article or part of an article". An additional subsection defining the words "Manufacturing Process" is newly inserted as Sub Section 4 and according to clause (c) of this sub section it includes any process for or incidental to supplying, generating, or transforming pneumatic hydraulic or electrical energy.

I consul-

I consulted the Chief Inspector of Factories, Bombay and Madras to let me know the circumstances which led to this amendment to widen the scope of the definition of a "Factory." I enclose herein for the information of Government copies of extracts from letters received from them in reply. It is seen from these letters that there was a doubt felt whether the definition of the Factory in the Act of 1922 was applicable to the generating and transforming stations, water pumping stations etc., and with a view to remove this doubt the definition of the factory was amended in 1926.

It is therefore desirable to amend the definition of -- "Factory" in our Regulation also so as to bring into a line with the definition of the factory in the Indian Act of 1926. I therefore beg to request that suitable action may kindly be taken to amend section 3 of the Mysore Factories Regulation.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

C Ranganatha Rao Sahib
Director and
Chief Inspector of Factories.

Extract of letter No.1636 of 1930 dated 31st May 1930, from the Acting Chief Inspector of Factories, Bombay Presidency, Bombay, to the Director of Industries And commerce in Mysore.

---

x x x x

x x x x

The 1911 definition of Section 2 (3) (a) ends " any article or part of an article" and electricity cannot be termed "an article", it was for this reason that the amendment in 1926 was made to apply the Act legally to electric, generating and transforming stations and also to other factories such as hydraulic pumping stations, air compressors and water pumping in which there is no "altering or - adapting for use" etc. as in the old section 2 (3) (a).

Letter No.2.

Extract of letter No.543/30 dated the 24th April 1930 from the Chief Inspector of Factories, Madras, to the Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore, Banglore,

---

It was not sure whether electrical, generating and water pumping stations were included within the definition of section 2 (3) of the Indian Factories Act 1911 as amended in 1922. The section was therefore amended in 1926 so as to bring these definitely within the scope of the Act.

x x x x

x x x x

' E x t r a c t s '

H.N. Ramiengar

for DIRECTOR.

mss)

Section 2 (3) and (4)
~~Extract~~ of the Indian Factories Act, ~~1921~~ 1911 ~~Page 9~~ as amended by Act of 1926

---

3) "Factory" means.---

(a) Any premises wherein, or within the precincts of which, on any one day in the year not less than twenty - persons are simultaneously employed and steam, water or other mechanical power or electrical power is used in aid of any manufacturing process; or --

(b) Any premises wherein, or within the precincts of which, on any one day in the year not less than ten persons are simultaneously employed and any manufacturing process is carried on, whether any such power is used in aid thereof or not, which have been declared by the Local Government, by notification in the local official Gazette, to be a factory.

A declaration under clause (b) may be made in respect of any class of premises, or in respect of any particular premi--ses;

" manufacturing process" means any process for or incidental to,

a) making, altering, repairing, ornamenting, finishing, or otherwise adapting for use, transport or sale, any article, or part of an article, or

b) refining oil or pumping or filtering water, or

c) supplying, generating or transforming pneumatic, hydraulic or electrical energy,

and includes the baling of any material for transport.

" ~~Extract~~ "

H.X. Ramiengar

for DIRECTOR OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE.

mss)30-8

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the *193* .

Date of issue 25/9/30

Signed by Mr. H.S. Lam

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section DoC
File No. 69-30
Serial No. 2
No. D 3494

To
The Dir of DoC

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. C1-1476/28-29 dt 29th Aug. 1930. on the subject of the amendment of the definition of "Factory" in the Mysore Factories' Regulation so as to bring it into line with the Indian Factories' Act of 1926, I am directed to request you to be so good as to compare provisions of the Indian Act the provisions of the above with those of the Regulation in force here and report on all the

[over

the other matters, if any,
in which the Import Regulation
can be brought into line with
the Indian Act. Govt consider it necessary to proceed to
consider the amendment of the Repatriation Rights of the Repatriates
as proposed by you in your
letter that Govt no D.9237-46/3/45828
4.B 14.2.1930 make
further official enquiries
as to the applicability to Repatriates
of Guardians, Estates, Wills etc &
other Concerns, as also
the Electricity Dept are
concerned.

Thanks

Mon
17.7.30 Dep. Secy.

mo
16/7

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore,.....

No.

2

From B. Narainswamiengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~M.RAMA RAO, Esq., B.A., B.L.,~~
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Development Departments.

To

The Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore,

B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.C_1 1476/28-29 dated 29th August 1930 on the subject of the amendment of the definition of "Factory" in the Mysore Factories' Regulation so as to bring it into line with the Indian Factories Act of 1926, I am directed to request you to be so good as to compare the provisions of the Indian Act with those of the Regulation in force here and report on all the other matters, if any, in which the Mysore Regulation can be brought into line with the Indian Act. Government consider it unnecessary to proceed to consider the amendment of only ~~the~~ one section of the Regulation as proposed by you in view of the fact that Government Order No.D.9237-46/I&C 458-28-4 dated 14th February 1930 makes the position explicit in so far as the application of the Regulation to generating stations, workshops and other concerns relating to the Electrical Department are concerned.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Secretary to Government,

Development Departments.

ACH.2. 24/9.

R 90/30-31

5618

G. R. S. 46]

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

No. 6778, dated the 31/12 1930.

(Dte.)

REPLY

No. C/2033 dated the 15/1/ 1931.

To

The Director of Industries & Commerce

To

The

Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore

Development .....Departments.

SUBJECT.

Calling for a report as to how the Mysore Factories Regulation can be brought into line with the British Indian Act.

SIR,

I am directed to invite attention to the marginally noted communication and to request that the Government of His Highness the Maharaja may be informed, in the column opposite, of the cause of delay in furnishing a reply and of the approximate date on which reply may be expected.

D *No. 3494 dated 25/9/30
Dte. 69-30-2

I am also to request that the reply to this reminder may be sent ~~not later than the~~ very early

I have, etc.

for Secretary to Government,
Dev Department.

Very Early
29.12.30
for
2-1-31

Sir,

I have the honour to state that a copy of the Indian Factories Act amended uptodate has been obtained and is being compared with the Mysore Factories Regulation and a report will be submitted next month.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Director in charge.

RG
BV/6/31
16/1/31

* Previous reminders

WD 0161—GPB—2,000—28-8-29

Please place in the file

17.1.31.

May further report be awaited?

17.1.31

Submit for orders & a reminder may be sent by middle of 2nd/1931.

Tele { phone—No. 62.
gram—INDUSCOM.

In reply please refer to
No. Cl-1476/28-29

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.
DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE.
DEVELOPMENT BRANCH

OFFICE OF THE LABOUR COMMISSIONER AND
CHIEF INSPECTOR OF FACTORIES,

Encls. One

Bangalore, ...6....March.....19 31

FROM

C. RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Labour Commissioner and Chief Inspector of Factories
in Mysore, Bangalore.

To

Dev. 69-30-3

B. Narainsamiengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development Departments, Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. D. 3494/I & C 69-30-2 dated 25th Septr.1930, I have the honor to enclose herein a statement showing the amendments to be made in the Mysore - Factories Regulation No. III of 1914 as amended by Regulation VI of 1925, to bring it into conformity with the Indian Factories Act in force at present.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Chief Inspector of Factories.

usc)

D.O. No. D. 10508/ 30 162-3v-3

General and Revenue Secretariat,

Bagnalore, ... *192*

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter No.C.W.C. 3/30-31 dated 5th February 1931 suggesting that Section 4 (1) (b) of the Workmen's Compensation Regulation may be amended with a view to reduce the waiting period from ten to seven days, I am desired to state that while agreeing with you that the amendment of the law on the lines proposed by you is desirable, Government consider it necessary to defer taking action in the matter on other grounds.

It is likely that the Royal Commission on Labour will indicate a number of changes ~~x~~ liberalising the law relating to the Workmen's Compensation and it is therefore desirable to take up the question of amendment of the Regulation after the suggestions of the commission are available.

Besides, it is not known how the subject of Labour will be dealt with in the new Indian Constitutional Reforms. There appears to be a strong view that Labour should be made a Federal subject both for legislation and administration. If any arrangement on these lines is adopted in the new constitution, the All India Law would apply to Mysore and there would be no need for any legislativ-e action on the part of the State.

Yours sincerely,

Sd/ N. Rama Rao,

To

~~xxSangamthexxxx

Memo No.D. 1853-34

Dated, Bangalore, May 1931.

Copy of the foregoing is forwarded with compliments to the Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore with the observation that his proposals for the amendment of the Mysore Factories Regulation submitted with his letter No.C1 1476/28-29 dated 6th March 1931 may also ~~kxi~~ be deferred for the present.

By Order,

For Secretary to Govt. Dev. Deptts.

Tele{phone—No. 62.
gram—INDUSCOM.

In reply please refer to
No. C.J.-1076-26

REV. SECRETA
9 JUNE 1931

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE.

OFFICE OF THE LABOUR COMMISSIONER AND CHIEF INSPECTOR OF FACTORIES,

Bangalore, ...4th..J..u..n..e.....*19* 31

Encls.

FROM

C. RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Labour Commissioner and Chief Inspector of Factories
in Mysore, Bangalore.

To

N. Rama Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development Departments, Bangalore.
Camp - Mysore

SIR,

Amendment to the Factories Regulation

I have the honor to invite your kind reference to your Memo No. D. 10509 dated the 22nd May 1931 forwarding copy - of your D. O. letter No. D. 10509/I & C 142-30-5 dated the 22nd May 1931 to the Commissioner for Workmen's Compensation Kolar District, stating that actinn for amending Sec. 4 (1) of the Workmen's Compensation Regulation may be deferred, I beg to point out that in the case of Workmen's Compensation Regulation, the amendments proposed by the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar, seek to introduce changes far in advance of the provision of the present Indian Act; whereas in the case of the - Factories Regulation my proposal was only to amend our -- Regulation so as to bring it into conformity with the Indian Factories Act in force at present. Some of the amendments are of vital importance in promoting the safety, health and welfare of the labour employed in factories and others, clear up points in doubt under the present Regulation. It does not appear desirable that our Factory law should be below the stand -ard of that in force in British India. I would therefore - suggest the desirability of taking early action to amend our Factories Regulation on the lines proposed by me.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
Ranganatha Rao Sahib
Chief Inspector of Factories.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section 9 (c)

File No. 69-30

Serial No. 5

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. ~~D. 187~~ 122

General and Revenue Secretariat

(

the *193*

To

The Dist. Magt.

Date of issue 6/7/31

Sir

Signed by M. C. S. Nawab

With ref^ce to your letter No. C. - 1476/28-29 dt 4/11th June 1931 suggesting certain amendments in the Factories Regulation, I am directed to state that the question of amendments in the Regulation may be taken up after the Report of the Royal Commission on Labour is available.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Yours

2.7.31

for Secy

Over

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section 9(c)

File No. 69-30

Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. D 239

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

To

The Dir. of Ind.

Date of issue 13/7/31

Sir

Signed by H. M. Lawrence

In continuation of this office letter No. D. 122/2(c) 69-30-5 dt 6.7.31 regarding the amendments to the Factories Regulation, I am directed to request that a copy of the Report of the Royal Commission on Labour is published. The amendments suggested by you may be examined in the light of the recommendations made by the Commission in their comprehensive proposals for the consideration of Govt.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Cover

WD02559—GPB—25,000—25-6-30

8.7.31

Dev. Sec.

N. L. 239

10th July 1931.

XXX XXXXXX XXXXXXXXXXXX
The xxx

Development Departments.

N. Rama Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Director of Industries & Commerce in Mysore.

In continuation of this office letter No.D.122/I&C. 69-30-5 dated 6th July 1931 regarding the amendments to the Factories Regulation, I am directed to request that as the report of the Royal Commission on Labour is published the amendments suggested by you may be examined in the light of the recommendations made by the commission and comprehensive proposals submitted for the consideration of Government.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

10.7.31

For Secretary to Government,
Development Departments.

BNL.2.

G. R. S. APP F.III—1] 1930.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Dev.)

NOTES.

I & C File No. 116-30

FILE HEADING.

Departmental control of the buildings of the Soap Factory.

FROM The Dir. of Industries & Commerce

No. D2 1513/28-29 Dated 18th September 1930 Received 23-9-30

Diary No. 2594	Enclos. 3 Spare copies –	Serial No. /

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Proposes the transfer of control of the entire buildings of the Soap Factory from the P.W.D. to the Department of Ind. & Com. for reasons stated.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The question raised by the Dir of I & C. as to the P.W.D. meeting the cost of the building ultimately is perhaps ~~incidental~~ in consonance with the policy of Govt. to vest control all Govt. buildings with the P.W.D. But as the Chief Engineer states that there is no provision

NOTES—First Sheet.

Serial No.

in the current year's budget to meet the Cost of the work, he proposes that the Factory may own that portion which has been built out of its own funds.

The Director of I & C. however, proposes that the control of the entire building be vested in the Department, and cites as reasons, the Industrial Chemist's experience that the P.W.D is not able to render prompt service in the way of repairs, additions or alterations which may be required at short notice; and that apart from this the dual control of portions of the buildings leads also to some delay.

At present the P.W.D gets about Rs 100/- p.m as rent from the Soap Factory & the portion owned by them. What exactly is the amount spent on repairs annually is not known and may perhaps be ascertained. The Industrial Chemist is prepared to shoulder the financial responsibility of repairs and extensions, and, if required, will set apart every year a suitable amount for depreciation Charges on the buildings.

It is perhaps desirable to call for the opinion of the Chief Engineer in the matter. Draft puts up for Consideration.

It does not appear necessary

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

W.C.O. 53—G.P.B.—50,000—8-7-30

to consult the Chief Engineer as he has already stated that there are no funds in the D.P.W. to meet the cost of the works already executed. ~~Cotton~~ The Soap factory has to be reimbursed by the D.P.W. to the extent of expn incurred and therefore an additional grant will have to be sanctioned to the D.P.W. unless the proposal made by the Industrial Chemist to have the Control of the entire building (Soap factory & outhouses) transferred from the D.P.W. to the Ind. Dept is accepted. This is for consideration

10.

8/9/20 The Chief Engineer's proposal that the cost of the extension of the Soap Factory building Rs 4743 may be met from the Soap Factory funds and that rent need not be paid on this portion of the building may be accepted. 1-

In regard to the Director's suggestion that the entire buildings in which the Soap Factory is now located may be made over to the Control of the Industries Dept with a view to avoid the inconvenience of dual control now felt, the decision on this point may be deferred for the present as it is

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193

Serial No.

OFFICE OF THE MEMBER OF COUNCIL.
29 SEP 1930
No. 421

Understood that the Director of Industries has been asked to examine the question of shifting the Soap factory & the Sandal oil factory building and we may await his report about materials

Devdas
26.9.30.

For the present the suggestion of the Chief Engr. may be accepted pending final solution of the location of the Soap factory, Sandal Godown &c.

Sd
28/9

Draft-
26

Sd
30/9/30.

Draft put up
Sd
1110

Sd
9/10

2. To the Dir of Ind &c.

States that the suggestion of the Chief Engr. may be accepted for the present pending final decision in the matter of removing the Soap Fy. &c

Sd
11/10.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 02553—G P B—50,000—8 7 30

D.C.116-30-1

(30)

TELEGRAPHIC ADDRESS:—"INDUSCOM."

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIES AND COMMERCE.

CEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT 23 SEP. 1930 DEVELOPMENT BRANCH.

In reply please refer to
No. D2-1513/28-29
Encl. Three.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR,

Dated, Bangalore, 18th September, 1930.

FROM

C. RANGANATHA RAO SAHIB, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore,
(Bangalore, India.)

To

B. Narayanaswami Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development Departments, Bangalore.

SIR,

Soap Factory Building.

(J) With reference to G.O.No.D.1417-19/I&C 391-28-8 dated 26-6-30 sanctioning a grant of Rs.4743/- to carry out the work of providing additional accommodation for the Government Soap Factory and directing that the cost be met from the reserve funds at the disposal of the Factory, I have the honour to state that although the amount was directed to be met from the reserves of the Factory, due to the urgency of the matter, the expenditure should ultimately be met from the budget of the Public Works Department who own the building in which the Factory is located and to whom rent is being paid by the Factory every month. The Chief Engineer was therefore addressed by (L) me in my letter No.D2-1513/28-29 dated 15-7-1930 to recoup to the Soap Factory the expenditure on the extensions effected and if need be to raise the monthly rent proportionately. I enclose for the information of Government a copy of my letter addressed to him and (M) also copy of letter No.1802 dated 1-8-30 received in reply thereto. The Chief Engineer states that there is no provision in the current year's budget of his Department to meet the cost of the work and that rent will be recovered for only such of the portions of the building of the Factory as are included in the list of his Department. He also states that the cost of the buildings which are required in the interests of the Factory may be met from the funds of the Indus-

Industries & Commerce Department and that no rent will be levied on them. In this connection I also enclose a copy of letter No.1211 dated 1-9-1930 received from the Industrial Chemist pointing out the various inconveniences that will be caused as a result of the dual control of the building. I beg to propose that as the Chief Engineer cannot pay the cost of the extensions effected to the building, the entire building may be transferred to this Department to avoid the inconveniences pointed out by the Industrial - Chemist.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Ramganatha Rao Sahib

~~For~~ DIRECTOR.
(~~Absent on casual leave~~)

KS)

Letter No.1802 dated 1-8-1930 from the Chief Engineer of Mysore, Bangalore, to the Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore, Bangalore.

Subject:- Additional accommodation for the Government Soap Factory at Bangalore.

With reference to your letter No.D2-1513/28-29 dated the 12th/15th July 1930, regarding the adjustment of the expenditure incurred on the above work, I have the honour to inform you that there is no provision in the current year's P.W. Budget for meeting the cost of the above work, nor can funds be found by reappropriation in view of the restricted grants. As the work is considered necessary and urgent by you, and as it cannot be deferred till funds can be made availalbe by the P-W. Department, rent will be recovered only for such portions of the buildings as are included in the list of this Department. The cost of the other buildings which are required in the interest of the Soap - Factory may be met from the funds of the Industries & Commerce Department and no rent will be levied on them as in the case of the Sandalwood Oil Factory Buildings at Bangalore.

"Copy"

For Director
(~~Absent on casual leave~~).

KS)

Letter No.D2-1513/28-29 dated 15th July 1930 from the Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore, Bangalore, to the Chief Engineer in Mysore, Bangalore.

With reference to Government order No.14117-19/I&C 391-28-8 dated 26-6-1930 sanctioning the estimate for Rs.4743/- for the provision of additional accommodation to the Government Soap - Factory, I have the honour to state that although the Government order provides for the cost of the work being met from the Reserve funds at the disposal of the Soap Factory, the expenditure should ultimately be met from the budget of the Public Works Department who own the building in which the factory is located and to whom a rent is being paid by the factory monthly. As you are perhaps aware, there is precedent for this method of adjustment. The shed required for storing tallow was built in 1922-23 at a cost of Rs.2116/- from the factory funds in the first instance. This expenditure was in due course adjusted from the Public Works - Department budget. As the Public Works Department own a part of the building it would be in the fitness of things if they acquire a similar right over all its extensions charging such enhanced - rent as is permissible under the rules. I request that suitable arrangements may be made to meet the expenditure sanctioned in G.O. dated 26-6-1930 from the Public Works Department budget in the course of the current year.

"Copy"

For Director
(absent on Casual Leave).

KS)

GOVERNMENT SOAP FACTORY, BANGALORE.

1st September 1930.

'2-11

The Director of Industries &

Commerce in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

Ref: Chief Engineer's letter No.1802 dated 1-8-30.
Re: Soap Factory buildings.

I have the honour to report as follows.-

(1) At present the P.W.D. is charging us a rent of Rs.100/- per month. They are expected to keep the building under good repairs. It has been very difficult in the past to get the repairs done promptly and consequently the factory has been put to loss and inconvenience. I do not blame anybody but their system is such that it will not suit commercial conditions. With the best of intentions the P.W.D. officers will not be able to render us prompt service.

(2) We shall be required quite often to add to the buildings or introduce alterations at short notice and this is not possible at the present time. I have on several occasions given it up as hopeless even to suggest small alterations etc. because if it were not quickly attended to, it would be of no

use to the factory. I have simply put up with such conditions. A commercial concern should have its buildings under its own control.

(3) Whenever we want to have substantial extensions, the P.W.D. will not have funds for this; we shall have to pay for new buildings, for which the Chief Engineer says we need not pay any rent. That means half the buildings belong to P.W.D. and half to us; we pay rent for one portion and do not pay for the other half; repairs for half the buildings are done by P.W.D. and the other half is attended to by us. Since the buildings under different controls may be in continuity and if there should be a repair, or an alteration or an extension involving both "the spheres of influence", you can realise the inconveniences before that work can be got through. It often happens that we must do the work without any loss of time, and formal references to P.W.D. does mean delay.

(4) The buildings ultimately belong to Government and all expenses are borne by the Government. Dual control is always conducive of friction and inefficiency. To run a commercial concern in a building partly controlled by another Department is not a very happy condition. Let me say once more that I am not in anyway complaining against P.W.D. officers. They have tried their best to help the factory. But the existing rules and regulations hamper the factory's work.

(5) Therefore I request you to kindly approach the

Government to hand over the entire building to the Department of Industries. We need not pay any rent to P.W.D. then. We shall guarantee to keep the buildings in good repairs. We shall shoulder the financial responsibility of repairs and extensions and if the Government so desire we shall set apart in our balance sheet a proper sum of money every year for depreciation of the buildings.

I request your early orders.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Industrial Chemist &
Chemical Engineer.

VRR.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section J & C

File No. 116-30

Serial No. 2

No. 3865

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

To

The Dir of I & C

Date of issue 11 VI 30

Signed by.....

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. D2-1513/28-29 dt 18.9.30, proposing ~~Regarding~~ that the entire Soap Factory building may be transferred to you ~~dt~~ so as to avoid certain inconveniences pointed out by you, I am directed to state that, for the present, the suggestion of the Chief Engineer to recover rent only in such portions of the building ~~of the factory~~ as are included in his list may

[over

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 02559—GPB—25,000—25-6-30

he accepted, pending final
decision in the matter
~~Solution~~ of the location of the
Soap Factory which is under
the consideration of Govt.

I have &c

Ce
9/10

Secy

fmb
1/10